

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/017,880	KURN, NURITH	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alexander H. Spiegler	1637	

All Participants:

- (1) Alexander H. Spiegler. (3) _____.
 (2) Nicholas Buffinger. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 March 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

16-31, 56-81, 97-112, 137-162, 166-167, and 172-185

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative, Nicholas Buffinger, approved the Examiner's proposed amendment to cancel the withdrawn claims (16-31, 56-81, 97-112, 137-162, 166-167, and 172-185), thus placing the application in condition for allowance.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)